



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,958	11/28/2006	Pierre Martin	LNK-018	4554
31496 7590 03/05/2010 SMITH PATENT CONSULTING, LLC 3307 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER CHUNG, SUSANNAH LEE	
			ART UNIT 1626	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 03/05/2010	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chalin@smithpatent.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/586,958

Applicant(s)

MARTIN ET AL.

Examiner

SUSANNAH CHUNG

Art Unit

1626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 December 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3-7, 24-28, 30-41 and 43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3-7, 30-41 and 43 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 3-7, 24-28, 30-41 and 43 are pending in the instant application. Claims 2, 8-23, 29, 42 and 44 are canceled. Claims 25-28, 32-35, 37-39, and 43 are withdrawn.

Response to Non-Final Office Action

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's response and amendment of the claims filed on 12/17/2009.

Election/Restriction

Applicants request to clarify the restriction requirement and rejoinder of claims 24, 30-41 and 43 is acknowledged. See response page 13. Claims 24, 30-31, 36 and 40-41 were previously examined and rejoinder of claims 32-35, 37-39 and 43 has been considered and will be rejoined at this time. Therefore, process claims 1, 3-7, 24, 30-41 and 43 are drawn to the elected species. Claims 25-28 are withdrawn as nonelected subject matter. In view of the rejoinder of claims 32-35, 37-39 and 43, the restriction requirement mailed on 3/16/2009 is withdrawn with respect to groups I-V, and IX. The restriction requirement on Groups VI-VIII is maintained.

35 USC 112, 1st and 2nd paragraph Rejections

Claims 1, 4-6, 24, 30 and 42 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement and definiteness requirement for the terms "1,2,4-triazolyl forming reagent," "protecting groups," "derivatives," and "residues." Applicants arguments are acknowledged, and is found persuasive in part. The rejection of the term "1,2,4-triazolyl forming reagent" is withdrawn since the number

of 1,2,4-triazolyl forming reagents is limited and the scope of which would be known to a skilled artisan. The rejection of the terms "derivatives" and "residue" is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims. However the rejection of the term "protecting group" is maintained because there is no support in the specification for the term "protecting group." See previous office action, mail date 9/24/2009, page 7 and 8, wherein it states that there are no examples of protecting groups and the metes and bounds of the terms are unclear. It is asserted that claims must stand alone to define the invention and incorporation into claims by express reference to the specification is not permitted. *Ex parte Fressola*, 27 USPQ 2d 1608. Therefore, claims 1 and 24 are rejected for the term "protecting groups." If Applicants can point to additional protecting groups that were disclosed in the instant application, this rejection will be withdrawn.

Claim Objections

Claims 3-7, 30-31, 36 and 40-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Claims 2, 8-23, 29 42 and 44 are canceled.

Claims 1, 3-7, 24, 30-41 and 43 are drawn to the elected subject matter of a process of making a compound of formula [A] and the intermediate compound of formula [B].

Claims 25-28 are drawn to nonelected subject matter of compounds and processes of making a formula [D], [C], and [O].

Claims 1 and 24 are rejected.

Claims 3-7, 30-41, and 43 are objected.

The closest prior art of record is Dalmases Barjoan (WO/2004/014877), which teaches a similar process of making Rizatriptan using a hydrazine, but does not teach the use of the instantly claimed hydrazine of formula [B], wherein an alkyl-amino group is present.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susannah Chung whose telephone number is (571) 272-6098. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Susannah Chung/
Examiner, Art Unit 1626